PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORIT TO: RELLY A GARDNER SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. SOS SUGARLOAF PARRWAY (ATL 4.3.517) LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 50044		PCT WRITTEN OPINION		
			JUN 1	1 1 2002
		Date of Mailing	90IBNTIFIC.	ATLANTA, INC.
		(day/month/year)	04 JUN 2002	-
Applicant's or agent's file reference F-6885-PC			vithin TWO months rom the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US01/10874	02 APRIL 2001		05 APRIL 2000	i
International Patent Classification (II IPC(7): Ho4N 7/025, 7/10, 7/178 Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.	PC) or both national classi and US Cl.: 725/25, 32, 3	fication and IPC 4, 86, 87, 101, 104		
IV Lack of unity of ir V X Reasoned statemen citations and expl VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in	relating to the following to of opinion with regard to evention t under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with mations supporting such s	novelty, inventive a th regard to novelty, tatement	tional Preliminary Examining Au tep or industrial applicability inventive step or industrial applic	*
5. The applicant is hereby invited t				1
When? See the time limit Authority to gran	indicated above. The appli t an extension, see Rule (oant-may, before the i6.2(d) :	expiration of that time-limit, requ	est this
How? By submitting a v For the form and	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.5. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.			
For the examiner For an informal o	ommunication with the ex	nendments and/or as aminer, see Rule 66.0	guments, see Rule 66.4 bis.	inion.
4. The final date by which the interexamination report must be esta	national preliminary blished according to Rule	69.2 is: 02 AUGUST	2002	
Name and mailing address of the IPE	A/US	Authorized officer		
Commissioner of Patents and Trad Box PCT	emarks	VIVEK SRIVAS	TAVA 1100 2	
Washington, D.C. 20231		1	TAV Lugenia 30	yar
Facsimile No. (708) 805-8280 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (J.	d= 1000)+	- Septione 110.		

WRITTEN OPINION

-	International application No.
	PCT/US01/10874

I. :	Basis e	of the opinion					
1. W	ith rega	rd to the elements of the international applicati	ion:*				
. Tx	-	international application as originally fi					
1	_	description:					
λ		es1-23		, as originally filed			
		es NONE		, filed with the demand			
			, filed with the letter of	, 11100 1110 1111			
_	1 0						
X	9	claims:					
_	pag			, as originally filed			
			, as amended (together with any stat				
		es NONE filed w		, filed with the demand			
	pag	es <u>NONE</u> , filed w	with the letter of				
Г	7 the	drawings:					
10	-1	es1-7		as originally filed			
		es NONE		, filed with the demand			
			, filed with the letter of				
Х	the	sequence listing part of the description:					
		es NONE					
		es NONE		, filed with the demand			
	pag	es NONE	, filed with the letter of				
th	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available of furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filled, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:						
누	=	language of a translation furnished for t		ler Kule 23.1(0)).			
느	=	language of publication of the internation					
L	the 1 or 5:	anguage of the translation furnished for the 5.3).	purposes of international preliminary examin	nation (under Rules 55.2 and/			
		ard to any nucleotide and/or amine acid sen the basis of the sequence listing:	equence disclosed in the international applica	tion, the written opinion was			
	cont	ained in the international application in	printed form.				
Г	filed	together with the international applicat	tion in computer readable form.				
Ē] furn	ished subsequently to this Authority in	written form.				
	furn	ished subsequently to this Authority in	computer readable form.				
	The inter	statement that the subsequently furnished national application as filed has been furn	written sequence listing does not go beyonished.	and the disclosure in the			
	The been	statement that the information recorded in c furnished.	computer readable form is identical to the wa	riten sequence listing has			
4. X	The	amendments have resulted in the cancer	ellation of:				
	х	the description, pages NONE					
	X	the claims, Nos. NONE					
	X	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE					
5. F	This	opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the	emendments had not been made since they	herm been considered to as			
<i>"</i> ∟		ond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the		have been considered to go			
	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".						

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US01/10874

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. 8	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	YES
		Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	YES
		Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	YES
		Claims	(Please See supplemental sheet)	NO

2. citations and explanations

Claims 1, 2, 10-15, 25-27, 29, 55, 57, 45-61, 55 lack novelty under PCT Article 35(2) as being anticipated by Kenner et al (5,956,716).

Considering claims 1, 14, 27, 56, 54, 54, 76, 1 and 59. Kenner discloses a method for providing an alternate service upon accessing an unamhorized service comprising the steps of receiving form the server device and storing in the memory a service database and an authorization database, accessing the service database responsive to the subscriber is authorized for the first service, and presenting an alternative service according to the service database responsive to the subscriber is authorized for receive the first service (of 8 lines 14-56, col 10 lines 11-50, col 24 lines 47 - col 25 line 19, col 27 line 68 - col 28 line 59, accessing a desired channel met by television and settop).

Considering claims 2, 16, 46 and 40 Knaner discloses a database listing of services stored locally in the client device and authorizing a user for services that subnertally meeting the limitation of a client device receiving service databased and authorization database from a server device (col 8 lines 14-45, col 16 lines 14-51, col 186 lines 187 lines 187

Considering claims 10 and 28, Kenner discloses the claimed enhanced version since the enhanced version comprises additional material not provided in other versions (col 24 line 47 - col 25 line 12, col 27 line 64 - col 28 line 29).

Considering claims 11 and 24, Kenner discloses manipulating the downloaded version which meets the "record and

save the first service" limitation (col 3 lines 15-50).

Considering claims 12 and 25, Kenner discloses one of the claimed functions (col 8 lines 34 - 40).

Considering claims 13 and 26, Kenner discloses a plurality of audio streams for different configurations thus meeting the "superior audio service quality" limitation (col 27 line 64 - col 28 line 17). (Continued on Supplemental Sheet)

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/10874

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Record.

V. 1. REASONED STATEMENTS:

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (YES) with respect to claims 3-9, 16-22, 28, 30-34, 36, 38-42, 52, 54. The opinion as to Novelty was negative (NO) with respect to claims 1, 2, 10-15, 23-27, 29-35, 37, 43-51, 53. The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (YES) with respect to claims 3-9, 16-22, 30-34, 38-42.

The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative (NO) with respect to claims 1, 2, 10-15, 23-29, 35-37, 43-54. The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-54.

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (123) with respect to claims 1-34.

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE.

The opinion in to reducting representing with indignatio (110) with respect to examination

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

Considering claims 44 and 48, Kenner discloses the claimed determining configuration for a display device coupled to the client device (col 27 line 64 - col 28 line 17).

Claims 28, 36, 52, and 54 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kenner et al. Although Kenner falls to disclose the claimed limitations, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kenner to include the claimed limitations.

Regarding claims 28, 36, 52 and 54 Kenner fails to disclose the claimed wherein the display device is configured in a HDTV format is that the client device is configured to present a television service in HDTV format if available and in a standard format if HDTV format is unavailable, however, providing and option for a program in HDTV or standard TV would have been well known to give a user an option of selecting the service desired based on the user's choice and/or system requirements.

Claims 3-9, 16-22, 30-24 and 38-42 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest instructing a novigation module in the client dovice to receive the desired channel request, wherein the navigational module polls the service application manager client to determine the first service that corresponds to the desired channel.

	NEW CITATI	ons			
JS 5,956,716 A	(KENNER et a	1) 21	SEPTEMBER	1999.	whole documen